



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,852	02/04/2004	Jeffrey W. Ruberti	20780-0006	9743

61263 7590 09/15/2008  
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP  
1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, N.W.,  
SUITE 400 SOUTH  
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER
----------

EGWIM, KELECHI CHIDI

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1796

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/15/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/771,852	RUBERTI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim	1796	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim.

(3) John P. Isacson. 

(2) BRAITHWAITE, GAVIN. 

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 10 September 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Brief slideshow and video demonstration of the application of the claimed product.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented the application and importance of their solution by way of the above mentioned demonstration, and presented a new version of the claims to substitute the last set of claims that were filed. The examiner agreed that the new version presented, if submitted, would be sufficient to overcome the 112 rejections. Also, the examiner suggested that, with the upcoming reply, the slideshow would be sufficient to represent all that is demonstrated in the video. Thus, there is no need to submit the video.